

1 one house. We already have a single-member senate. We
2 certainly do not want to tamper with this.

3 Another argument is that this provision violates
4 somehow the constitutional requirement of one-man, one-vote.
5 Once again this is just poor bunk. The Supreme Court has
6 indicated to the contrary clearly in several cases. Sure,
7 somebody in a triple-member district has three times as many
8 votes but the simple point is that his vote is diluted out
9 over three times as many people so the force of the vote is
10 just the same, and I would like to read again from the
11 Reynolds case in the Supreme Court where it said "single-
12 member districts may be the rule in one state while another
13 state might desire to achieve some flexibility by creating
14 multi-member or floterial districts."

15 This principle was specifically upheld in Forson
16 v. Dorsey in the Supreme Court in which a Georgia districting
17 scheme with seven multi-member districts and the rest single-
18 member districts was specifically upheld.

19 THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Lord, you haven't used
20 your time, but I think I should advise you that you have
21 used eight minutes of your time.